Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

	Pipestone	District FY08 EQIP
1.	List the local resource concerns that EQIP	
_	Soil Erosion	
_	Water Quality	
-	Pasture Conditions & grazing concerns	
2.	If applicable, list any geographic regions their respective resource concerns within t	
Hol	lland (LPRW), Edgerton, and Ruthon Drinking w	ater Supply Management Area.
TMDL designated streams (Pipestone, Rock, Redwood, Split Rock)		
3.	From items 1 & 2 above prioritize the local resource concerns to be addressed with EQIP funding for the district. Describe a minimum of 3 categories of the highest priority applications which you would want to receive funding.	
-	Any type of conservation practice located Management areas of Pipestone County (as d	
-	Soil erosion and water quality practices located within 500 feet of an intermittent or perennial stream located within Pipestone County.	
-	Soil Erosion & Water Quality practices located in watersheds of the TMDL designated streams? -	
-	Applications with prescribed grazing practices.	
4.	Develop a minimum of 3 and maximum of application is addressing the high priority of	
	nter Quality – Is the applied for practice located nking Water Supply Management Area? – 8 poi	
	I Erosion/Water Quality – Is the applied for pracennial stream? – 15 points	actice within 500 feet of an intermittent or
	I Erosion/Water Quality – Is the applied for pracisignated stream? – 10 points	tice located within a watershed of a TMDL
Soil Erosion – Is the applied for practice a water and sediment control basin or a terrace? –		

8 points

Water Quality – Does this practice involve a Prescribed Grazing System? – 7 points

Water Quality – Does this practice involve an Ag. Waste System? – 5 points

Water Quality – Does this practice involve a Nutrient Management Plan? – 2 points

Soil Erosion – Does this practice involve Residue Management? – 5 points

- 5. Assign points to the questions in Item #4 as desired to reflect local priorities. The total points assigned to the questions should be between 35 to 60 points.
- Submit this worksheet to your respective ASTC(FO). After approval from the state office, the questions will be entered into the Local Issues section of the ranking tool.
- 7. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 08 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Kyle Krier 10/18/2007

Chair, Local Work Group Date